arXiv:1702.03892v1 [math.AP] 13 Feb 2017

On a quantum Boltzmann type equation in Zakharov's wave turbulence theory

Toan T. Nguyen* Minh-Binh Tran†

February 14, 2017

Abstract

Although many studies have been carried on to understand the Hasselmann-Zakharov weak turbulence equation for capillary waves since its derivation in the 60's, the question about the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the equation still remains unanswered, due to the complexity of the equation. This work provides a solution to the problem.

Keyword: weak turbulence theory, quantum Boltzmann equation, capillary waves

MSC: 35B05, 35B60, 82C40

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Main result 3

2 Conservation laws and energy surfaces **5**
2.1 Momentum and energy identity 5
2.2 Energy surfaces 6

3 Weighted L^1 estimates **10**
3.1 Estimate of the collision operator 10
3.2 Weighted L^1_N $(N > 1)$ estimates 12
3.3 Weighted $L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}$ estimates 14

4 L^2 estimates **16**

[1]Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA 16802, USA. Email: nguyen@math.psu.edu.

[2]Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA. Email: mtran23@wisc.edu

5 Holder estimates for $Q[f]$ **18**

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1 **21**
6.1 Case 1: $\varrho > 0$ 21
6.1.1 Condition $(\mathfrak{A})$ 23
6.1.2 Condition $(\mathfrak{B})$ 23
6.1.3 Condition $(\mathfrak{C})$ 26
6.1.4 Condition $(\mathfrak{D})$ 26
6.2 Case 2: $\varrho = 0$ 27

A Appendix: Proof of Theorem 6.1 **27**

1 Introduction

Vibrations of the surface of a liquid, without taking into account the fluid viscosity, are normally described by a system of water wave equations. Under the assumption that the system of waves is statistically homogeneous and the phases of the individual vibrations are completely chaotic, we obtain the following weak turbulence equation for capillary waves (cf. [21, 22, 31, 33])

$$\partial_t f + 2\nu|k|^2 f \ = Q[f] \tag{1.1}$$

in which $f(t,k)$ is the nonnegative wave density at wavenumber $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$. Here, ν denotes the positive coefficient of fluid viscosity, and $Q[f]$ is the collision term, describing pure resonant three-wave interactions. The equation is a three-wave kinetic one, in which the collision operator is of the form

$$Q[f](k) \ = \ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \Big[R_{k,k_1,k_2}[f] - R_{k_1,k,k_2}[f] - R_{k_2,k,k_1}[f]\Big] dk_1 dk_2 \tag{1.2}$$

with

$$R_{k,k_1,k_2}[f] := 4\pi|V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2 \delta(k-k_1-k_2)\delta(\mathcal{E}_k - \mathcal{E}_{k_1} - \mathcal{E}_{k_2})(f_1 f_2 - f f_1 - f f_2)$$

with the short-hand notation $f = f(t,k)$ and $f_j = f(t,k_j)$. The Dirac delta function $\delta(\cdot)$ is to ensure the following resonant conditions for the wavenumbers:

$$k = k_1 + k_2, \qquad \mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_{k_1} + \mathcal{E}_{k_2}, \tag{1.3}$$

with $\mathcal{E}_k$ denoting the dispersion relation of the waves. The exact form of the collision kernel V_{k,k_1,k_2} will be recalled below.

According to the weak turbulence theory (cf. [33, 34, 15]), equation (1.1) admits non-trivial equilibria $Q[f_\infty] = 0$, called the Kolmogorov-Zakharov's spectra:

$$f_\infty(k) \approx C|k|^{-\frac{17}{4}}.$$

Moreover, such a solution can be interpreted as a universal spectrum in the region of transparency. These solutions are the analogs of the familiar Kolmogorov energy spectrum prediction $C|k|^{-\frac{5}{3}}$ of hydrodynamic turbulence.

The derivation of the equation dated back to the 60's, starting with the pioneering work of Hasselmann, Zakharov and collaborators (cf. [11, 12, 30, 31, 33]). Since then, a lot works have been done, trying to understand the equation (see [30, 15, 32, 31, 34, 5, 33, 19, 2, 21, 22, 8, 24, 9, 23] and references therein). We refer to the books [18] for more discussions and references on the topic. Due to its complexity, the fundamental question on the global existence and uniqueness of solutions to the equation is still *unsolved*. In this paper, we give, *for the first time*, an answer to the fundamental question on the global existence and uniqueness of solutions to the equation.

In this paper, we develop new techniques, inspired by our recent works on quantum kinetic theory. Let us mention that the kinetic wave equation (1.1) has a very similar structure with the quantum Boltzmann equation that describes the evolution of the excitations in a trapped Bose gas system, in which the temperature of the gas is below the Bose-Einstein condensate transition temperature (cf. [29, 35, 16, 13, 10, 14]). The collision operator that describes the interaction between excitations and condensates in the quantum Boltzmann equation reads

$$C[f](k) \;=\; \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \Big[\bar{R}_{k,k_1,k_2}[f] - \bar{R}_{k_1,k,k_2}[f] - \bar{R}_{k_2,k,k_1}[f]\Big] dk_1 dk_2 \tag{1.4}$$

with

$$\bar{R}_{k,k_1,k_2}[f] := |\bar{V}_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2 \delta(k-k_1-k_2)\delta(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_k - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{k_1} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{k_2})(f_1 f_2 - f f_1 - f f_2 - f) \tag{1.5}$$

and $|\bar{V}_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2 = \mathcal{C}^*|k||k_1||k_2|$, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_k = \sqrt{\kappa_1|k|^2 + \kappa_2|k|^4}$ for some positive constants $\mathcal{C}^*$, κ_1, κ_2. Our recent progresses on quantum Boltzmann equations (cf. [7, 20, 26, 25, 1]) have opened some opportunities to tackle this open problem, the existence and uniqueness of solutions to (1.1).

We note that, in the absence of the linear term in (1.5) or the viscous damping in (1.1), singularities are likely to form. Indeed, [27] constructed a self-similar blowup solution to the quantum Boltzmann equation, when the linear term is dropped.

1.1 Main result

Throughout the paper, we consider the following generalized version of (1.1)

$$\partial_t f + 2\nu(|k|^2 + \varrho|k|^4) f \;= Q[f] \tag{1.6}$$

for $\varrho \geq 0$. The law of wave dispersion on the surface of infinitely deep liquid is of the form

$$\mathcal{E}_k = \sqrt{\sigma|k|^3} \tag{1.7}$$

for σ the surface tension coefficient, and the collision kernel V_{k,k_1,k_2} is defined by

$$V_{k,k_1,k_2} = \frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2\sigma}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_k\mathcal{E}_{k_1}\mathcal{E}_{k_2}}\Big(\frac{L_{k_1,k_2}}{|k|\sqrt{|k_1||k_2|}} - \frac{L_{k,-k_1}}{|k_2|\sqrt{|k||k_1|}} - \frac{L_{k,-k_2}}{|k_1|\sqrt{|k||k_2|}}\Big) \tag{1.8}$$

with $L_{k_1,k_2} = k_1\cdot k_2 + |k_1||k_2|$; see [21, 22]. Without loss of generality, we assume the surface tension $\sigma = 1$.

We shall construct global unique radial solutions to (1.6) in weighted L^1 spaces. Precisely, for $N > 0$, let $L^1_N(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the function space consisting of $f(k)$ so that the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^1_N} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(k)\mathcal{E}_k^N\, dk$$

is finite, with the dispersion relation $\mathcal{E}_k$ defined as in (1.7). In addition, for any $N > 0$ and $\vartheta_0 > 0$, we introduce

$$\mathcal{S}_N := \Big\{ f \in L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^d)\cap L^1_{N+3}(\mathbb{R}^d) \ :\ f \ge 0, \quad f(k) = f(|k|), \qquad \|f\|_{L^1_1} + \|f\|_{L^1_{N+3}} \le \vartheta_0\Big\}.$$

We shall construct solutions of (1.6) that remain $\mathcal{S}_N$, if initially so.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $N > 0$, and let $f_0(k) = f_0(|k|) \in \mathcal{S}_N \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then for all $\varrho \ge 0$, the weak turbulence equation* (1.6)*, with initial data $f(0,k) = f_0(k)$, has a unique global solution $f(t,k)$ so that*

$$0 \le f(t,k) = f(t,|k|) \in \mathcal{C}\big([0,\infty); \mathcal{S}_N \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\big) \cap \mathcal{C}^1\big((0,\infty); L^1_N\big). \tag{1.9}$$

Moreover, there holds the propagation of moments: for any $n \ge 1$, if $f_0 \in L^1_n$, then there exists $C_n > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t\ge 0} \|f(t,\cdot)\|_{L^1_n} \le C_n. \tag{1.10}$$

Let us mention that the classical Boltzmann equation describes the evolution of the density function of a dilute classical gas. After the collision, two particles with velocities k_1 and k_2 change their velocities into k_3 and k_4. Since the energy of the particles is of the form $\mathcal{E}_k = |k|^2$; the conservation of moment and energy then read

$$|k_1|^2 + |k_2|^2 = |k_3|^2 + |k_4|^2, \quad k_1 + k_2 = k_3 + k_4.$$

As a consequence, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 belong to the sphere centered at $\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}$ with radius $\frac{|k_1-k_2|}{2}$ and the classical Boltzmann collision operator can be expressed as a integration on a sphere (cf. [4, 28]).

Let us now turn to the collision operator of (1.6). As in the classical case, the collision operator involves surface integrals. Precisely, we introduce the energy surfaces, dictated by the resonant conditions (1.3),

$$\begin{aligned} S_k &:= \Big\{ w \in \mathbb{R}^d \ :\ \mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_{k-w} + \mathcal{E}_w \Big\} \\ S'_k &:= \Big\{ w \in \mathbb{R}^d \ :\ \mathcal{E}_{k+w} = \mathcal{E}_k + \mathcal{E}_w \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

with $\mathcal{E}_k = \sqrt{\sigma}|k|^{3/2}$. The collision operator $Q[f]$ then reduces to

$$Q[f](k) = \int_{S_k} R_{k,k-k_2,k_2}[f] d\sigma(k_2) - 2\int_{S'_k} R_{k+k_2,k,k_2}[f] d\sigma(k_2). \tag{1.12}$$

Difficulties arise. First, surfaces S_k and S'_k are no longer a sphere as in the classical case, and the analysis on these surfaces can be tricky. More seriously, due to the lack of an integration over the whole space (compare with the classical Boltzmann equation), we are forced to bound surface integrals in term of (weighted) L^1 norms of solutions, a type of estimates that are in general false. In Section 2.2, we shall derive such estimates for radial functions.

By view of (1.3), the weak turbulence equation (1.6) conserves momentum and energy (in the absence of viscous damping), but does not conserve mass. As a consequence, one of the issues in dealing with (1.6) is that L^1 norms, say with weight $|k|^n$, of solutions do not close by itself, but are bounded by L^1 norms with a much higher-order weight. This is due to the high-order collision kernel. That is, roughly speaking, the kernel $|V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2$ is of order $9/2$ in $|k|$, which is much higher than the order of classical Boltzmann collision kernel (typically, smaller than one). This apparent loss of weights in $|k|$ gives the impression that solutions could blow up in finite time, even in the presence of viscous damping: $2\nu|k|^2 f$, which gains precisely two order in $|k|$.

We end the introduction by giving the structure of the paper:

- We derive the momentum and energy identities and provide a careful study of the surface integrals on the energy manifolds.
- In Section 3, we provide an a priori estimate on the L^1_N norm of the solution.
- An L^2 estimate on the solutions of (1.6) and the Hölder continuity of the collision operator will be established in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.
- The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 6.

2 Conservation laws and energy surfaces

2.1 Momentum and energy identity

In this section, we obtain the basic properties of strong solutions of (1.6).

Lemma 2.1 *There holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[f](t,k)\varphi(k)\, dk \;=\; \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} R_{k,k_1,k_2}[f]\Big[\varphi(k)-\varphi(k_1)-\varphi(k_2)\Big] dk dk_1 dk_2$$

for any test functions φ so that the integrals make sense.

Proof By definition, we compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[f](t,k)\varphi(k)\,dk \;=\; \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \Big[R_{k,k_1,k_2} - R_{k_1,k,k_2} - R_{k_2,k,k_1}\Big]\varphi(k)dkdk_1dk_2.$$

By switching the variables $k \leftrightarrow k_1$, $k \leftrightarrow k_2$ in the first integral on the right, the lemma follows at once. ■

As a direct consequence, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1 (Momentum and energy identities) *Smooth solutions $f(t,k)$ of (1.6) satisfy*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,k)kdk + 2\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,k)k(|k|^2+\varrho|k|^4)dk = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,k)\mathcal{E}_k dk + 2\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,k)\mathcal{E}_k(|k|^2+\varrho|k|^4)dk = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof This follows from Lemma 2.1 by taking $\varphi(k) = k$ and $\varphi(k) = \mathcal{E}_k$, and using the resonant conditions (1.3). ■

2.2 Energy surfaces

Our first step is to study the surface integrals. For sake of generality, we consider in this section the following power-law energy function

$$\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}(k) = |k|^\gamma, \qquad 1 < \gamma \leq 2. \tag{2.3}$$

In the case when $\gamma = 1$, the surface S_k degenerates into a straight line $S_k = \{\alpha k\}_{\alpha\in[0,1]}$, and the surface integral reduces to a line integral. Such an energy corresponds to the dispersion law of phonons, and has been studied in [1, 6, 7].

Lemma 2.2 (Surface S_p) *Let $\gamma \in (1,2]$ and S_p be defined as in (1.11)-(2.3). Then, for each p, $S_p = |p|S_{e_p}$, with $e_p = p/|p|$. In addition, there hold the following properties:*

i. $\{0,p\} \subset S_p \subset \overline{B(\frac{p}{2},\frac{|p|}{2})}$.

ii. The surface S_p is invariant under the rotation around p, and can be parametrized by

$$S_p = \Big\{w(\alpha,e_q) = \alpha p + s(\alpha)e_q \;:\; \alpha\in[0,1],\quad |e_q| = 1,\quad e_q\cdot p = 0\Big\}$$

for some function $s(\alpha)$ that is smooth in $(0,1)$; see Figure 1. In the two dimensional case: $d = 2$, S_p is a curve parametrized by $\alpha \in [0,1]$.

iii. $s(\alpha) = s(1-\alpha)$, $s(0) = 0$, and $s(\alpha)$ is strictly increasing and invertible on $(0,\frac{1}{2})$.



Figure 1: *Sketched is the surface S_p, centered at $\frac{p}{2}$ and having 0 and p as its south and north poles, respectively.*

iv. There are universal constants c_0, C_0 so that the surface area satisfies

$$c_0|p|^{d-1} \le \int_{S_p} d\sigma(w) \le C_0|p|^{d-1},$$

uniformly in $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

v. There holds

$$\int_{S_p} F(|w|)d\sigma(w) \le C_0 \int_0^{|p|} F(u)|u|^{d-2}du \le C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(|w|)|w|^{-1}dw.$$

Proof Let $p \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. It is clear that $S_p = |p|S_{e_p}$, with $e_p = p/|p|$. Thus, it suffices to study the case when $|p| = 1$. As for (i), it is clear that $\{0, p\} \subset S_p$. Next, since $a^\gamma + b^\gamma \le (a+b)^\gamma$, we have for $w \in S_p$

$$1 = \Big(|p-w|^\gamma + |w|^\gamma\Big)^{2/\gamma} \ge |p-w|^2 + |w|^2.$$

This proves that $w \in \overline{B(\frac{p}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}$. (i) follows.

As for (ii), we write $w = \alpha p + q$ for q orthogonal to p. By orthogonality, $|w|$ and $|w-p|$ do not depend on the direction of q, and neither does S_p. That is, S_p is invariant under the rotation around p. We set

$$w(\alpha, s) = \alpha p + s e_q.$$

We shall prove the existence of a function $s = s(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$, so that $w(\alpha, s(\alpha)) \in S_p$. To this end, let

$$F(\alpha, s) := \mathcal{E}(p - w(\alpha, s)) + \mathcal{E}(w(\alpha, s)) - \mathcal{E}(p).$$

Clearly, $F(\alpha, s) = 0$ if and only if $w(\alpha, s) \in S_p$. Observe that $F(\alpha, 0) < 0$ (by convexity of $\mathcal{E}(p)$) and $F(\alpha, s) > 0$ for sufficiently large s (and hence large $|w(\alpha, s)|$). The existence of a such $s(\alpha)$ follows. In addition, a direct computation yields

$$\nabla_w F = \frac{w-p}{|p-w|}\mathcal{E}'(p-w) + \frac{w}{|w|}\mathcal{E}'(w) \tag{2.4}$$

and hence $\partial_s F(\alpha, s) = e_q \cdot \nabla_w F$ is positive, since $\mathcal{E}'(w) > 0$ (for $w \neq 0$). That is, $F(\alpha, s)$ is increasing in s for each α and $s(\alpha)$ is uniquely determined, so that $F(\alpha, s(\alpha)) = 0$. The smoothness of $s(\alpha)$ follows from that of $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$. This proves (ii).

Next, the symmetry stated in (iii) is clear from the definition of S_p, and it suffices to study $s(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Observe that for $w_\alpha = w(\alpha, s(\alpha)) \in S_p$, we have $0 = F(\alpha, s(\alpha))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_\alpha F + s'(\alpha)\partial_s F = p \cdot \nabla_w F + s'(\alpha) e_q \cdot \nabla_w F \\ &= -\frac{\mathcal{E}'(p-w_\alpha)}{|p-w_\alpha|} + (s(\alpha)s'(\alpha) + \alpha)\Big[\frac{\mathcal{E}'(p-w_\alpha)}{|p-w_\alpha|} + \frac{\mathcal{E}'(w_\alpha)}{|w_\alpha|}\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Setting $\mathcal{E}_1(w) := |w|^{\gamma-2}$, we have

$$s(\alpha)s'(\alpha) = \frac{(1-\alpha)\mathcal{E}_1(p-w_\alpha) - \alpha\mathcal{E}_1(w_\alpha)}{\mathcal{E}_1(p-w_\alpha) + \mathcal{E}_1(w_\alpha)}. \tag{2.6}$$

Observe that the function in the numerator in (2.6) is decreasing in α, and vanishes at $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have $s'(\alpha) > 0$, and hence $s(\alpha)$ is invertible, on $(0, \frac{1}{2})$. This yields (iii).

Next, we compute the surface area of S_p. Let us consider the case when $d \geq 3$; the case when $d = 2$ is simpler, as the surface is parametrized solely by $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Writing $w(\alpha, \theta) = \alpha p + s(\alpha)e_\theta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma(w) &= |\partial_\alpha w \times \partial_\theta w| d\alpha d\theta = \Big|(p + s'(\alpha)e_\theta) \times s(\alpha)\partial_\theta e_\theta\Big| d\alpha d\theta \\ &= \sqrt{1 + |s'(\alpha)|^2}\, s(\alpha) d\alpha d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Since $S_p \subset \overline{B(\frac{p}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}$ and the fact that $s(\alpha)$ is increasing on $(0, \frac{1}{2})$, $|s(\alpha)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. In addition, from (2.6), $s(\alpha)s'(\alpha) \leq (1-\alpha)$. The upper bound on the surface area follows, upon noting that $d\sigma(S_p) = |p|^{d-1} d\sigma(S_{e_p})$. As for the lower bound, since $s(0) = 0$ and $s(\alpha)$ is increasing on $(0, \frac{1}{2})$, $s(\alpha) \gtrsim 1$ on any compact subset of $(0, 1)$. This proves that

$$\int_{S_{e_p}} d\sigma(w) = \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{1 + |s'(\alpha)|^2}\, s(\alpha) d\alpha d\theta \geq c_0,$$

for some c_0, depending only on γ (in particular, independent of e_p). This proves (iv).

Finally, we check the surface integral of a radial function $f(|w|)$. Introduce the radial variable $u = |w_\alpha| = |p|\sqrt{\alpha^2 + s(\alpha)^2}$. We compute $|u|^{d-2} du = (\alpha + s(\alpha)s'(\alpha)) d\alpha$ and hence

$$d\sigma(w) = \frac{\sqrt{1 + |s'(\alpha)|^2}\, s(\alpha)}{\alpha + s(\alpha)s'(\alpha)} |u|^{d-2} du d\theta$$



Figure 2: *Sketched is the trace of the surface S'_p on any two dimensional plane containing p.*

in which again α and $s(\alpha)$ are independent of $|p|$. Consider $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. We have $s'(\alpha) > 0$ and hence

$$\frac{\sqrt{1+|s'(\alpha)|^2}s(\alpha)}{\alpha + s(\alpha)s'(\alpha)} \leq 1 + \frac{s(\alpha)}{\alpha + s(\alpha)s'(\alpha)}.$$

If $s'(\alpha)$ is unbounded, it is clear that the above is bounded. On the other hand, if $s'(\alpha)$ is bounded, then $s(\alpha) \lesssim \alpha$. The boundedness again follows. By symmetry, a similar estimate holds for $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. This proves

$$\int_{S_p} f(|w|)d\sigma(w) \leq C_0 \int_0^{|p|} f(u)|u|^{d-2}du.$$

The lemma follows. ■

Lemma 2.3 (Surface S'_p) *Let S'_p be defined as in (1.11)-(2.3). Then, $S'_p = |p|S'_{e_p}$, with $e_p = p/|p|$. In addition, There is a positive constant C_0 so that*

$$\int_{S'_p} F(|w|)\, d\sigma(w) \leq C_0 \int_0^\infty F(u)|u|^{d-2}du \leq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(|w|)|w|^{-1}dw \tag{2.8}$$

uniformly in $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof It is clear that $S'_p = |p|S'_{e_p}$, in which the surface

$$S'_{e_p} = \Big\{ w(\alpha,\theta) = \alpha e_p + s(\alpha)e_\theta \ : \ \alpha \in [0,\alpha_0),\ \theta \in [0,2\pi] \Big\} \tag{2.9}$$

for some monotonic function $s(\alpha)$ and some positive constant α_0; see Figure 2. We stress that the parametrization $\alpha, s(\alpha)$ and θ are independent of p. As a consequence, the surface integral on S'_{e_p} is independent of p.

As in (2.7), we have

$$d\sigma(w) = |p|^{d-2}\sqrt{1+|s'(\alpha)|^2}s(\alpha)d\alpha d\theta \tag{2.10}$$

and hence, the surface integral is estimated by

$$\int_{S'_p} F(|w|)\, d\sigma(w) = \iint_{[0,2\pi]\times[0,\alpha_0]} F(|p||\alpha e_p + s e_q|)\sqrt{1+|s'(\alpha)|^2}s(\alpha)|p|^{d-2}d\alpha d\theta.$$

Let us introduce the variable $u = |p||\alpha e_p + s e_q|$. It follows that $|u|^{d-2}du = |p|^{d-2}(\alpha + s(\alpha)s'(\alpha))d\alpha$, and hence

$$\int_{S'_p} F(|w|)\, d\sigma(w) \le 2\pi \int_0^\infty F(u)\frac{\sqrt{1+|s'(\alpha)|^2}s(\alpha)}{\alpha + s(\alpha)s'(\alpha)}|u|^{d-2}du \tag{2.11}$$

in which again the ratio

$$\frac{\sqrt{1+|s'(\alpha)|^2}s(\alpha)}{\alpha + s(\alpha)s'(\alpha)}$$

is independent of $|p|$ and bounded, by considering regions on which $s'(\alpha)$ is bounded or unbounded. ■

3 Weighted L^1 estimates

In this section, we shall derive uniform estimates on the weighted L^1 norm, where weights are n^{th}-order monomials of $\mathcal{E}_k$, which are defined by

$$\mathfrak{M}_n[g] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{E}_k^n g(k)dk \tag{3.1}$$

in which we recall the energy function $\mathcal{E}_k = |k|^{3/2}$. We stress that our estimates might depend on the positive coefficient of viscosity, but is independent of ϱ, in the equation; see (1.6).

3.1 Estimate of the collision operator

We first obtain the following estimate on the collision operator $Q[g]$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $N > 1$. For any positive and radial function $g(p) = g(|p|)$, there exists a constant C_N, depending on N, such that the following holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[g](k)\mathcal{E}_k^N\, dk \le C_N \sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-1} \Big(\mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{4}{3}}[g]\mathfrak{M}_{N-n+1}[g] + \mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{1}{3}}[g]\mathfrak{M}_{N-n+2}[g]\Big). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof It is sufficient to prove the Lemma for N to be natural numbers. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[g](k)\mathcal{E}_k^N\, dk \;=\; \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} R_{k,k_1,k_2}[g]\Big[\mathcal{E}_k^N - \mathcal{E}_{k_1}^N - \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^N\Big]dkdk_1dk_2.$$

Using the resonant conditions $k = k_1 + k_2$ and $\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_{k_1} + \mathcal{E}_{k_2}$, dictated by the Dirac delta functions in $R_{k,k_1,k_2}[g]$, we can write

$$\mathcal{E}_k^N - \mathcal{E}_{k_1}^N - \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^N = (\mathcal{E}_{k_1} + \mathcal{E}_{k_2})^N - \mathcal{E}_{k_1}^N - \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^N = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \binom{N}{n} \mathcal{E}_{k_1}^n \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{N-n}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[g](k)\mathcal{E}_k^N \, dk &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} R_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}[g] \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \binom{N}{n} \mathcal{E}_{k_1}^n \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{N-n} dk_1 dk_2 \\
&= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} R_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}[g] \sum_{n=1}^{[N/2]-1} \binom{N}{n} \mathcal{E}_{k_1}^n \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{N-n} dk_1 dk_2 \\
&\quad + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} R_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}[g] \sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-1} \binom{N}{n} \mathcal{E}_{k_1}^n \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{N-n} dk_1 dk_2 \\
&=: I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Clearly, due to the symmetry of k_1 and k_2, it suffices to give estimates on I_2. Indeed, we write

$$I_1 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} R_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}[g] \sum_{n=N-[N/2]+1}^{N} \binom{N}{N-n} \mathcal{E}_{k_1}^{N-n} \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^n dk_1 dk_2,$$

which is in fact I_2. We now estimate I_2. Recall that

$$R_{k,k_1,k_2}[g] = 4\pi |V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2 \delta(k - k_1 - k_2)\delta(\mathcal{E}_k - \mathcal{E}_{k_1} - \mathcal{E}_{k_2})(g_1 g_2 - g g_1 - g g_2) \tag{3.4}$$

and the energy surface S'_k is defined as in (1.11). Thus, using the nonnegativity of $g(k)$, we can drop the last two terms $gg_1 + gg_2$ in (3.4), yielding

$$I_2 \le 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{S'_{k_2}} |V_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}|^2 g_1 g_2 \sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-1} \binom{N}{n} \mathcal{E}_{k_1}^n \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{N-n} d\sigma(k_1) dk_2.$$

Let us now estimate the collision kernel $V_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}$, defined as in (1.8). We recall

$$V_{k,k_1,k_2} = \frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2\sigma}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_k \mathcal{E}_{k_1} \mathcal{E}_{k_2}} \Big(\frac{L_{k_1,k_2}}{|k|\sqrt{|k_1||k_2|}} - \frac{L_{k,-k_1}}{|k_2|\sqrt{|k||k_1|}} - \frac{L_{k,-k_2}}{|k_1|\sqrt{|k||k_2|}} \Big)$$

with $L_{k_1,k_2} = k_1 \cdot k_2 + |k_1||k_2|$ and $\mathcal{E}_k = |k|^{3/2}$. It is clear that $|L_{k_1,k_2}| \le 2|k_1||k_2|$. In addition, the energy identity $\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_{k_1} + \mathcal{E}_{k_2}$ in particular implies that $|k_1| \le |k|$ and $|k_2| \le |k|$, due to the monotonicity of $\mathcal{E}_k$. Hence, for $k = k_1 + k_2$, we compute

$$0 \le L_{k,-k_1} = |k||k_1| - k \cdot k_1 = |k_1|(|k| - |k_1|) - k_1 \cdot k_2 \le 2|k_1||k_2|. \tag{3.5}$$

The same bound holds for $L_{k,-k_2}$. This proves that

$$|V_{k,k_1,k_2}| \le C_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_k\mathcal{E}_{k_1}\mathcal{E}_{k_2}}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{|k_1||k_2|}}{|k|}+\frac{\sqrt{|k_1|}}{\sqrt{|k|}}+\frac{\sqrt{|k_2|}}{\sqrt{|k|}}\Big)$$

for some universal constant C_0. Using again $|k| \ge \max\{|k_1|, |k_2|\}$, we obtain

$$|V_{k,k_1,k_2}| \le C_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_k\mathcal{E}_{k_1}\mathcal{E}_{k_2}} \tag{3.6}$$

for all (k, k_1, k_2) satisfying the resonant conditions $k = k_1 + k_2$ and $\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_{k_1} + \mathcal{E}_{k_2}$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\le C_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S'_{k_2}} \mathcal{E}_{k_1}\mathcal{E}_{k_2}(\mathcal{E}_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2})g_1g_2\sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-1}\binom{N}{n}\mathcal{E}_{k_1}^n\mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{N-n}d\sigma(k_1)dk_2\\ &\le C_0\sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-1}\binom{N}{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_2\mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{N-n+1}\Big(\int_{S'_{k_2}}\mathcal{E}_{k_1}^{n+1}(\mathcal{E}_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2})g_1d\sigma(k_1)\Big)dk_2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, applying Lemma 2.3 to the surface integral on S'_{k_2} and recalling that $g_1 = g(|k_1|)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S'_{k_2}} g_1\mathcal{E}_{k_1}^{n+1}(\mathcal{E}_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2})d\sigma(k_1) &\le C_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(k)\mathcal{E}_{k_1}^{n+1}(\mathcal{E}_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2})|k_1|^{-1}dk_1\\ &\le C_0\Big(\mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{4}{3}}[g]+\mathcal{E}_{k_2}\mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{1}{3}}[g]\Big). \end{aligned}$$

This proves

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\le C_N\sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_2\mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{N-n+1}\Big(\mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{4}{3}}[g]+\mathcal{E}_{k_2}\mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{1}{3}}[g]\Big)dk_2\\ &\le C_N\sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-1}\Big(\mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{4}{3}}[g]\mathfrak{M}_{N-n+1}[g]+\mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{1}{3}}[g]\mathfrak{M}_{N-n+2}[g]\Big). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. ∎

Remark 3.1 *We note that by writing $L_{k,-k_1}$ and $L_{k,-k_2}$ as in* (3.5)*, the kernel $|V_{k,k_1,k_2}|$ is radial in k.*

3.2 Weighted L^1_N $(N > 1)$ estimates

Proposition 3.1 *Let $N > 1$. Suppose that $f_0(k) = f_0(|k|)$ is a nonnegative radial initial data satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0(k)(\mathcal{E}_k + \mathcal{E}_k^N)\,dk < \infty.$$

Then, corresponding nonnegative radial solutions $f(t,k) = f(t,|k|)$ of (1.6), with $f(0,k) = f_0(k)$, satisfy

$$\sup_{t\geq 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,k)\mathcal{E}_k^N\, dk \leq C_N \tag{3.7}$$

for some finite constant C_N.

We need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *For $M > n > p$, there holds*

$$\mathfrak{M}_n[g] \leq \mathfrak{M}_p^{\frac{M-n}{M-p}}[g]\mathfrak{M}_M^{\frac{n-p}{M-p}}[g]. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof The lemma follows from the definition of $\mathfrak{M}_n$ and the following Hölder inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(k)\mathcal{E}_k^n dk \;\;\leq\;\; \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(k)\mathcal{E}_k^p dk\right)^{\frac{M-n}{M-p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(k)\mathcal{E}_k^M dk\right)^{\frac{n-p}{M-p}}.$$

■

Proof [Proof of Proposition 3.1] Using $\varphi = \mathcal{E}_k^N$ as a test function in (1.6), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,k)\mathcal{E}_k^N dk + 2\nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(|k|^2 + \varrho|k|^4)f(t,k)\mathcal{E}_k^N\, dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[f](k)\mathcal{E}_k^N dk.$$

By using Proposition 3.1 and recalling the definition of $\mathfrak{M}_M$, the above yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\mathfrak{M}_N[f(t)] + 2\nu\mathfrak{M}_{N+\frac{4}{3}}[f(t)]\\ &\quad\leq C_N\sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-1}\Big(\mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{4}{3}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_{N-n+1}[f(t)] + \mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{1}{3}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_{N-n+2}[f(t)]\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Now using Lemma 3.2, with $p = 7/3$ and $M = N$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-1}\mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{1}{3}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_{N-n+2}[f(t)] &\leq \sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-1}\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{7}{3}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_N[f(t)]\\ &\leq C_N\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{7}{3}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_N[f(t)]\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-2}\mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{4}{3}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_{N-n+1}[f(t)] &\leq \sum_{n=[N/2]}^{N-2}\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{7}{3}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_N[f(t)]\\ &\leq C_N\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{7}{3}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_N[f(t)].\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we bound

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}_{N+\frac{1}{3}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_2[f(t)] &\le \mathfrak{M}_{N+\frac{4}{3}}^{\frac{N-2}{N-1}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{7}{3}}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_1^{\frac{N-2}{N-1}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_N^{\frac{1}{N-1}}[f(t)]\\ &\le \epsilon\mathfrak{M}_{N+\frac{4}{3}}[f(t)] + C_\epsilon\mathfrak{M}_1^{N-2}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{7}{3}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_N[f(t)].\end{aligned}$$

Recalling the energy identity (2.2), we have $\mathfrak{M}_1[f(t)] \le \mathfrak{M}_1[f_0]$. Hence, taking $\epsilon = \nu$ in the above computations, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathfrak{M}_N[f(t)] + \nu\mathfrak{M}_{N+\frac{4}{3}}[f(t)] \le C_N\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{7}{3}}[f(t)]\mathfrak{M}_N[f(t)]. \tag{3.9}$$

The uniform boundedness of $\mathfrak{M}_N[f(t)]$ follows from the standard Gronwall's lemma, upon using the following energy inequality (see (2.2)):

$$\mathfrak{M}_1[f(t)] + \int_0^t \mathfrak{M}_{\frac{7}{3}}[f(s)]\, ds \le \mathfrak{M}_1[f_0].$$

The proposition is proved. ∎

3.3 Weighted $L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}$ estimates

Proposition 3.2 *Let $f_0(k) = f_0(|k|)$ be nonnegative and satisfy*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0(k)\mathcal{E}_k^{1/3}(1+\mathcal{E}_k^2)\, dk < \infty.$$

Then, corresponding nonnegative radial solutions $f(t,k) = f(t,|k|)$ of (1.6), with $f(0,k) = f_0(k)$, satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,k)\mathcal{E}_k^{\frac{1}{3}}\, dk \le c_0 e^{c_1 t}, \qquad \forall t \ge 0, \tag{3.10}$$

for some universal constants c_0, c_1.

Proof By Proposition 3.1, the L^1_s-norm of f is bounded for $s \in [1, \frac{7}{3}]$. Using $\mathcal{E}_k^{1/3}$ as a test function in (1.6), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{1}{3}}[f(t)] + 2\nu\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{5}{3}}[f(t)] \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[f](k)\mathcal{E}_k^{\frac{1}{3}} dk. \tag{3.11}$$

We now divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1: Estimating the collision integral. We can estimate the right hand side of (3.11) as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{E}_k^{\frac{1}{3}} Q[f](k)dk \le \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} |R_{k,k_1,k_2}[f]|\Big(\mathcal{E}_k^{\frac{1}{3}} + \mathcal{E}_{k_1}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}\Big)\, dkdk_1dk_2,$$

in which, recall

$$R_{k,k_1,k_2}[g] = 4\pi|V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2\delta(k-k_1-k_2)\delta(\mathcal{E}_k-\mathcal{E}_{k_1}-\mathcal{E}_{k_2})(f_1f_2-ff_1-ff_2).$$

By the resonant conditions $k = k_1 + k_2$ and $\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_{k_1} + \mathcal{E}_{k_2}$, we the integrals can be re-expressed in terms of the surface integrals over $\mathbb{R}^d \times S_k$ and $\mathbb{R}^d \times S'_{k_1}$, as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{E}_k^{\frac{1}{3}} Q[f](k)dk \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S'_{k_1}} |V_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}|^2|f_1f_2|\Big(\mathcal{E}_{k_1+k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}+\mathcal{E}_{k_1}^{\frac{1}{3}}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}\Big)\,d\sigma(k_2)dk_1\\ + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k} |V_{k,k-k_2,k_2}|^2|ff_2|\Big(\mathcal{E}_{k}^{\frac{1}{3}}+\mathcal{E}_{k-k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}\Big)\,d\sigma(k_2)dk\\ =: I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned}$$

Estimate on I_1. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the conservation law $\mathcal{E}_{k_1+k_2} = \mathcal{E}_{k_1} + \mathcal{E}_{k_2}$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{k_1+k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \le C_N(\mathcal{E}_{k_1}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}})$$

which then leads to

$$I_1 \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S'_{k_2}} |V_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}|^2|f_1||f_2|(\mathcal{E}_{k_1}^{\frac{1}{3}}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}})\,d\sigma(k_1)dk_2.$$

Let us note from (3.6) that $|V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2 \le C_0\mathcal{E}_k\mathcal{E}_{k_1}\mathcal{E}_{k_2}$. By Lemma 2.3, I_1 can be bounded the following way

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \;&\le\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S'_{k_2}} |V_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}|^2|f_1||f_2|(\mathcal{E}_{k_1}^{\frac{1}{3}}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}})\,d\sigma(k_1)dk_2\\ &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S'_{k_2}} \mathcal{E}_{k_1}\mathcal{E}_{k_2}|f_1||f_2|(\mathcal{E}_{k_1}^{\frac{4}{3}}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{\frac{4}{3}})\,d\sigma(k_1)dk_2\\ &\le C\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathcal{E}_{k_1}\mathcal{E}_{k_2}|k_1|^{-1}|f_1||f_2|(\mathcal{E}_{k_1}^{\frac{4}{3}}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{\frac{4}{3}})\,dk_1dk_2\\ &\le C\Big(\|f\|_{L^1_{\frac{5}{3}}}\|f\|_{L^1_1} + \|f\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}}\|f\|_{L^1_{\frac{7}{3}}}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Estimate on I_2. We turn to estimate I_2. Again, recalling $\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_{k-k_2}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2} \ge \max\{\mathcal{E}_{k-k_2}, \mathcal{E}_{k_2}\}$, we bound

$$I_2 \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k} |V_{k,k-k_2,k_2}|^2|ff_2|\Big(\mathcal{E}_{k}^{\frac{1}{3}}+\mathcal{E}_{k-k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}\Big)\,d\sigma(k_2)dk.$$

Notice that $|V_{k,k-k_2,k_2}|^2 \le C_0\mathcal{E}_k\mathcal{E}_{k-k_2}\mathcal{E}_{k_2} \le C_0\mathcal{E}_k^2\mathcal{E}_{k_2}$. By Lemma 2.2, the following holds

true

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k} |V_{k,k-k_2,k_2}|^2 |f||f_2|\mathcal{E}_k^{\frac{1}{3}}\, d\sigma(k_2)dk \\
&\le C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k} \mathcal{E}_k^2\mathcal{E}_{k_2}|f||f_2|\mathcal{E}_k^{\frac{1}{3}}\, d\sigma(k_2)dk \\
&\le C_0 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathcal{E}_{k_2}|k_2|^{-1}|f||f_2|\mathcal{E}_k^{\frac{7}{3}}\, dkdk_2 \\
&\le C_M \|f\|_{L^1_{\frac{7}{3}}} \|f\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Combining (3.12)-(3.13) and using the fact that the L^1_s-norm of f is bounded for $s \in [1, \frac{7}{3}]$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{E}_k^{\frac{1}{3}} Q[f](k)dk \le C^*(\|f\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}} + 1). \tag{3.14}$$

Step 2: Estimating the $L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}$-norm. Putting together the two estimates (3.11) and (3.11) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{1}{3}}[f(t)] + 2\nu\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{5}{3}}[f(t)] \le C^*(\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{1}{3}}[f(t)] + 1), \tag{3.15}$$

which implies the bound on $\mathfrak{M}_{\frac{1}{3}}[f(t)]$.

The proof of the lemma is complete. ■

4 L^2 estimates

Proposition 4.1 *Suppose that $f_0(k) = f_0(|k|)$ is a nonnegative radial initial data with*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0(k)\mathcal{E}_k(1 + \mathcal{E}_k)\, dk < \infty$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_0(k)|^2\, dk < \infty.$$

Then, corresponding nonnegative radial solutions $f(t,k) = f(t,|k|)$ of (1.6), with $f(0,k) = f_0(k)$, satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(t,k)|^2\, dk \le c_0 e^{c_1 t}. \tag{4.1}$$

for some universal constants c_0, c_1.

Proof Using f as a test function in (1.6), we obtain the following identity

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2 dk \;+\; \nu\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(|k|^2 + \varrho|k|^4)f^2 dk \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[f]f dk. \tag{4.2}$$

As an application of Lemma 2.1, the left hand side of (4.2) could be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[f]f dk \;&=\; 4\pi \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} |V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2 \delta(k-k_1-k_2)\delta(\mathcal{E}_k-\mathcal{E}_{k_1}-\mathcal{E}_{k_2}) \\ &\qquad \times (f_1f_2 - ff_1 - ff_2)(f-f_1-f_2)dk_1dk_2dk.\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

By taking into account the positivity of f, the term inside the integral of (4.3) can be bounded by removing all the terms containing the negative sign, giving

$$\begin{aligned}(f_1f_2 - ff_1 - ff_2)(f-f_1-f_2) \;&\le 3ff_1f_2 \;+\; ff_1^2 \;+\; ff_2^2 \\ &\le \frac{5}{2} f(f_1^2 \;+\; f_2^2).\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above inequality into (4.3) and using the symmetry in k_1 and k_2, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[f]f dk \;&\le\; C \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} |V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2 \delta(k-k_1-k_2)\delta(\mathcal{E}_k-\mathcal{E}_{k_1}-\mathcal{E}_{k_2}) f(f_1^2 \;+\; f_2^2)dk_1dk_2dk \\ &\le\; C \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} |V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2 \delta(k-k_1-k_2)\delta(\mathcal{E}_k-\mathcal{E}_{k_1}-\mathcal{E}_{k_2}) f f_2^2 dk_1dk_2dk.\end{aligned}$$

Then, again using the definition of the Dirac functions $\delta(k-k_1-k_2)$ and $\delta(\mathcal{E}_k-\mathcal{E}_{k_1}-\mathcal{E}_{k_2})$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[f]f dk \;\le\; C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{S_k} |V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2 f f_2^2 d\sigma(k_2)dk.$$

Recall that $|V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2$ is bounded by $C\mathcal{E}_k\mathcal{E}_{k_1}\mathcal{E}_{k_2}$, and on the surface S_k, $\mathcal{E}_{k-k_2} \le \mathcal{E}_k$ and $\mathcal{E}_{k_2} \le \mathcal{E}_k$. This together with Lemma 2.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[f]f dk \;&\le\; C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{S_k} \mathcal{E}_k^2 \mathcal{E}_{k_2} f f_2^2 d\sigma(k_2)dk. \\ &\le\; C \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathcal{E}_k^2 \mathcal{E}_{k_2} |k_2|^{-1} f f_2^2 dk_2dk \\ &\le\; C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{E}_k^2 f \; dk\Big)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |k|^{\frac{1}{2}} |f|^2 \; dk\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Now, by Proposition 3.1, the L_2^1 norm of f is bounded. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q[f]f dk \;\le\; C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |k|^{\frac{1}{2}} f^2 dk. \tag{4.4}$$

Putting this into (4.2) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2 dk \;\le\; \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(C|k|^{\frac{1}{2}} - \nu|k|^2\Big) f^2 dk. \tag{4.5}$$

Let us note that the function $\rho(x) = Cx^{\frac{1}{2}} - \nu x^2$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is bounded from above by some positive constant C_1 (depending on ν). This proves

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2 dk \;\le\; C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2 dk, \tag{4.6}$$

which yields the proposition. ■

5 Holder estimates for $Q[f]$

In this section, we study the Hölder continuity of the collision operator $Q[f]$ with respect to weighted L^1_N norm:

$$\|f\|_{L^1_N} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(k)\mathcal{E}^N_k \, dk.$$

Proposition 5.1 *Let $M, N \geq 1$, and let $\mathcal{S}_M$ be any bounded subset of $L^1_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1_{N+3}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with L^1_1 and L^1_{N+3} norms bounded by M. Then, there exists a constant $C_{M,N}$, depending on M, N, so that*

$$\|Q[g] - Q[h]\|_{L^1_N} + \|Q[g] - Q[h]\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}} \leq C_{M,N}\Big(\|g-h\|_{L^1_N} + \|g-h\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{5.1}$$

for all $g, h \in \mathcal{S}_M$.

We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $M, N > 0$, and let $\mathcal{S}_M$ be any bounded subset of $L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1_{N+2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}$ and L^1_{N+2} norms bounded by M. Then, there exists a constant $C_{M,N}$, depending on M, N, so that*

$$\|Q[g] - Q[h]\|_{L^1_N} \leq C_{M,N}\Big(\|g-h\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|g-h\|_{L^1_{N+2}}\Big) \tag{5.2}$$

for all $g, h \in \mathcal{S}_M$.

Proof By definition of the collision operator, we compute

$$Q[g] - Q[h] = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \Big[R_{k,k_1,k_2}[g] - R_{k,k_1,k_2}[h] - 2(R_{k_1,k,k_2}[g] - R_{k_1,k,k_2}[h])\Big] dk_1 dk_2$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Q[g] - Q[h]\|_{L^1_N} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{E}^N_k |Q[g](k) - Q[h](k)| dk \\
&\leq \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \mathcal{E}^N_k |R_{k,k_1,k_2}[g] - R_{k,k_1,k_2}[h]| \, dk dk_1 dk_2 \\
&\quad + 2\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \mathcal{E}^N_k |R_{k_1,k,k_2}[g] - R_{k_1,k,k_2}[h]| dk dk_1 dk_2 \\
&= \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} |R_{k,k_1,k_2}[g] - R_{k,k_1,k_2}[h]| \Big(\mathcal{E}^N_k + \mathcal{E}^N_{k_1} + \mathcal{E}^N_{k_2}\Big) \, dk dk_1 dk_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$R_{k,k_1,k_2}[g] = C|V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2\delta(k-k_1-k_2)\delta(\mathcal{E}_k-\mathcal{E}_{k_1}-\mathcal{E}_{k_2})(g_1g_2-gg_1-gg_2).$$

Using the resonant conditions $k = k_1 + k_2$ and $\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_{k_1} + \mathcal{E}_{k_2}$, we write the triple integrals in term of the surface integrals over $\mathbb{R}^d \times S_k$ and $\mathbb{R}^d \times S'_{k_1}$. It follows at once that

$$\begin{aligned}\|Q[g]-Q[h]\|_{L^1_N} &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S'_{k_1}} |V_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}|^2|g_1g_2-h_1h_2|\Big(\mathcal{E}^N_{k_1+k_2}+\mathcal{E}^N_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}^N_{k_2}\Big)\,d\sigma(k_2)dk_1\\ &\quad + 8\pi\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k}|V_{k,k-k_2,k_2}|^2|gg_2-hh_2|\Big(\mathcal{E}^N_k+\mathcal{E}^N_{k-k_2}+\mathcal{E}^N_{k_2}\Big)\,d\sigma(k_2)dk\\ &=: J_1+J_2.\end{aligned}$$

Estimate on J_1. Using the triangle inequality and the conservation law $\mathcal{E}_{k_1+k_2} = \mathcal{E}_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}_{k_2}$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}^N_{k_1+k_2} \le C_N(\mathcal{E}^N_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}^N_{k_2})$$

and

$$|g_1g_2-h_1h_2| \le |g_1-h_1||g_2| + |h_1||g_2-h_2|.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}J_1 &\le C_N\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S'_{k_2}}|V_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}|^2|g_1-h_1||g_2|(\mathcal{E}^N_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}^N_{k_2})\,d\sigma(k_1)dk_2\\ &\quad + C_N\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S'_{k_1}}|V_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}|^2|h_1||g_2-h_2|(\mathcal{E}^N_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}^N_{k_2})\,d\sigma(k_2)dk_1.\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

Recall from (3.6) that $|V_{k,k_1,k_2}|^2 \le C_0\mathcal{E}_k\mathcal{E}_{k_1}\mathcal{E}_{k_2}$. Thus, together with Lemma 2.3, we estimate the first integral term in J_1, yielding

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S'_{k_2}}|V_{k_1+k_2,k_1,k_2}|^2|g_1-h_1||g_2|(\mathcal{E}^N_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}^N_{k_2})\,d\sigma(k_1)dk_2\\ &\le C_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S'_{k_2}}\mathcal{E}_{k_1}\mathcal{E}_{k_2}|g_1-h_1||g_2|(\mathcal{E}^{N+1}_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}^{N+1}_{k_2})\,d\sigma(k_1)dk_2\\ &\le C_0\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\mathcal{E}_{k_1}\mathcal{E}_{k_2}|k_1|^{-1}|g_1-h_1||g_2|(\mathcal{E}^{N+1}_{k_1}+\mathcal{E}^{N+1}_{k_2})\,dk_1dk_2\\ &\le C_M\Big(\|g-h\|_{L^1_{N+\frac{4}{3}}}+\|g-h\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

in which we have used the boundedness of g in $L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}\cap L^1_{N+\frac{4}{3}}$. By symmetry, the same estimate holds for the second integral in J_1.

Estimate on J_2. We turn to estimate J_2. Again, using

$$|gg_2-hh_2| \le |g-h||g_2| + |h||g_2-h_2|,$$

and recalling $\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{E}_{k-k_2} + \mathcal{E}_{k_2} \geq \max\{\mathcal{E}_{k-k_2}, \mathcal{E}_{k_2}\}$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k} |V_{k,k-k_2,k_2}|^2 |gg_2 - hh_2| \Big(\mathcal{E}_k^N + \mathcal{E}_{k-k_2}^N + \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^N\Big)\, d\sigma(k_2)dk \\ &\leq C_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k} |V_{k,k-k_2,k_2}|^2 |g-h||g_2| \mathcal{E}_k^N \, d\sigma(k_2)dk \\ &\quad + C_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k} |V_{k,k-k_2,k_2}|^2 |h||g_2-h_2| \mathcal{E}_k^N \, d\sigma(k_2)dk. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Recall that $|V_{k,k-k_2,k_2}|^2 \leq C_0 \mathcal{E}_k \mathcal{E}_{k-k_2} \mathcal{E}_{k_2} \leq C_0 \mathcal{E}_k^2 \mathcal{E}_{k_2}$. Therefore, using Lemma 2.2, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k} |V_{k,k-k_2,k_2}|^2 |g-h||g_2| \mathcal{E}_k^N \, d\sigma(k_2)dk \\ &\leq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k} \mathcal{E}_k^2 \mathcal{E}_{k_2} |g-h||g_2| \mathcal{E}_k^N \, d\sigma(k_2)dk \\ &\leq C_0 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathcal{E}_{k_2} |k_2|^{-1} |g-h||g_2| \mathcal{E}_k^{N+2} \, dkdk_2 \\ &\leq C_M \|g-h\|_{L^1_{N+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

in which we have again used the boundedness of g with respect to $L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}$ norm.

We now estimate the second integral in J_2.

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k} |V_{k,k-k_2,k_2}|^2 |h||g_2-h_2| \mathcal{E}_k^N \, d\sigma(k_2)dk \\ &\leq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{S_k} \mathcal{E}_k^2 \mathcal{E}_{k_2} |h||g_2-h_2| \mathcal{E}_k^N \, d\sigma(k_2)dk \\ &\leq C_0 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathcal{E}_k^2 \mathcal{E}_{k_2}^{\frac{1}{3}} |h||g_2-h_2| \mathcal{E}_k^N \, dkdk_2 \\ &\leq C_N \|g-h\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}} \end{aligned}$$

in which again the boundedness of h in $L^1_{N+\frac{4}{3}}$ was used.

Combining, we obtain

$$\|Q[g] - Q[h]\|_{L^1_N} \leq C_{M,N}\Big(\|g-h\|_{L^1_{N+2}} + \|g-h\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|g-h\|_{L^1_{N+\frac{4}{3}}}\Big).$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_k^{N+\frac{4}{3}} \leq \mathcal{E}_k + \mathcal{E}_k^{N+2}$, the above reduces to

$$\|Q[g] - Q[h]\|_{L^1_N} \leq C_{M,N}\Big(\|g-h\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|g-h\|_{L^1_{N+2}}\Big). \tag{5.5}$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. ■

Proof [Proof of Proposition 5.1] The proposition now follows straightforwardly from the previous lemma. Indeed, we recall the interpolation inequality (see Lemma 3.2):

$$\|g\|_{L^1_n} \leq \|g\|_{L^1_p}^{\frac{q-n}{q-p}} \|g\|_{L^1_q}^{\frac{n-p}{q-p}}$$

for $q > n > p$. Together with the boundedness of g, h in $L^1_1 \cap L^1_{N+3}$, we obtain

$$\|g-h\|_{L^1_{N+2}} \leq \|g-h\|_{L^1_N}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|g-h\|_{L^1_{N+3}}^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq C_M \|g-h\|_{L^1_N}^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

Lemma 5.1 yields

$$\|Q[g]-Q[h]\|_{L^1_N} \leq C_{M,N}\Big(\|g-h\|_{L^1_N} + \|g-h\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

which holds for all $N > 0$. In particular, the above holds for $\|Q[g]-Q[h]\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}}$. The proposition follows. ■

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

6.1 Case 1: $\varrho > 0$

The proof of our main theorem, Theorem 1.1, for the case $\varrho > 0$ uses the following abstract theorem, introduced in [1, 26] inspired by the previous works of [3, 17]. For sake of completeness, the proof of the abstract theorem will be given in the Appendix.

Theorem 6.1 *Let $[0,T]$ be a time interval, $E := (E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, $\mathcal{S}$ be a bounded, convex and closed subset of E, and $Q : \mathcal{S} \to E$ be an operator satisfying the following properties:*

($\mathfrak{A}$) *Let $\|\cdot\|_*$ be a different norm of E, satisfying $\|\cdot\|_* \leq C_E\|\cdot\|$ for some universal constant C_E, and the function*

$$\begin{aligned} |\cdot|_* : E &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ u &\longrightarrow |u|_*, \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

$$|u+v|_* \leq |u|_* + |v|_*, \text{ and } \quad |\alpha u|_* = \alpha |u|_*$$

for all u, v in E and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
Moreover,

$$|u|_* = \|u\|_*, \forall u \in \mathcal{S},$$

$$|u|_* \le \|u\|_* \le C_E\|u\|, \forall u \in E,$$

and

$$|Q[u]|_* \le C_*(1+|u|_*), \forall u \in \mathcal{S},$$

then

$$\mathcal{S} \subset \overline{B_*\Big(O, (2R_*+1)e^{(C_*+1)T}\Big)} := \overline{\Big\{u \in E \Big| \|u\|_* \le (2R_*+1)e^{(C_*+1)T}\Big\}},$$

for some positive constant $R_* \ge 1$.

($\mathfrak{B}$) *Sub-tangent condition*

$$\liminf_{h\to 0^+} h^{-1} dist\big(u + hQ[u],\, \mathcal{S}\big) = 0, \qquad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{S} \cap B_*\Big(O, (2R_*+1)e^{(C_*+1)T}\Big),$$

($\mathfrak{C}$) *Hölder continuity condition*

$$\big\|Q[u] - Q[v]\big\| \le C\|u-v\|^\beta, \quad \beta \in (0,1), \quad \forall\, u, v \in \mathcal{S}\,,$$

($\mathfrak{D}$) *one-side Lipschitz condition*

$$\big[Q[u] - Q[v], u - v\big] \le C\|u-v\|, \qquad \forall\, u, v \in \mathcal{S}\,,$$

where

$$\big[\varphi, \phi\big] := \lim_{h\to 0^-} h^{-1}\big(\|\phi + h\varphi\| - \|\phi\|\big).$$

Then the equation

$$\partial_t u = Q[u] \ \textit{on}\ [0,T]\times E, \qquad u(0) = u_0 \in \mathcal{S} \cap B_*(O, R_*) \tag{6.1}$$

has a unique solution in $C^1((0,T), E) \cap C([0,T], \mathcal{S})$.

We shall apply Theorem 6.1 for (1.6), which reads

$$\partial_t f = \widetilde{Q}[f], \qquad\qquad \widetilde{Q}[f] := Q[f] - 2\nu(|k|^2 + \varrho|k|^4)f,$$

in which $\varrho > 0$.

Fix an $N > 1$. We choose the Banach space $E = L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1_N\big(\mathbb{R}^3\big)$, endowed with the following norm

$$\|f\|_E := \|f\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|f\|_{L^1_N}.$$

We define the function $|\cdot|_*$ to be

$$|f|_* = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(p)\mathcal{E}_k^{\frac{1}{3}}\, dk.$$

Set

$$\|f\|_* = \|f\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}}.$$

By (3.14), it is clear that for all $f \geq 0$, $f \in E$, the following inequality holds true

$$|Q[f]|_* \leq C^* \left(1 + \|f\|_*\right). \tag{6.2}$$

We then choose C_* in Theorem 6.1 as C^*.

In addition, we take $\mathcal{S}_\varrho$ to be consisting of radial functions $f \in L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1_{N+3}\big(\mathbb{R}^3\big)$ so that

(S1) $f \geq 0$;

(S2) $\|f\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}} \leq c_0$;

(S3) $\|f\|_{L^1_1} \leq c_1$;

(S4) $\|f\|_{L^1_{N+3}} \leq c_2$;

where

$$c_0 := (2\mathcal{R}+1)e^{(C^*+1)T}, \tag{6.3}$$

$\mathcal{R}$, c_1 are some positive constant and

$$c_2 = \frac{3\rho_*}{2}, \tag{6.4}$$

with ρ_* defined below in (6.6). Note that from (3.14), C^* depends on c_1 and c_2. Clearly, $\mathcal{S}_\varrho$ is a bounded, convex and closed subset of $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$. Moreover for all f in $\mathcal{S}_\varrho$, it is straightforward that $|f|_* = \|f\|_*$. By Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.1, for $f_0 \in \mathcal{S}_\varrho$, solutions to (1.6) are radial and remain in $\mathcal{S}_\varrho$. Thus, it suffices to verify the four conditions $(\mathfrak{A})$, $(\mathfrak{B})$, $(\mathfrak{C})$ and $(\mathfrak{D})$ of Theorem 6.1.

6.1.1 Condition $(\mathfrak{A})$

We choose the constant R_* to be $\mathcal{R}$, then for all u in $\mathcal{S}$, $\|u\|_* \leq (2R_*+1)e^{(C^*+1)T}$. Condition $(\mathfrak{A})$ is satisfied.

6.1.2 Condition $(\mathfrak{B})$

For the sake of simplicity, we denote $N+3$ by N_*. By using Proposition 3.1 and recalling the definition of $\mathfrak{M}_M$, for any g that makes the integrals well-defined, we have

$$\widetilde{Q}[g] \leq -2\nu\varrho\mathfrak{M}_{N_*+2}[g] + C\sum_{n=[N_*/2]}^{N_*-1} \mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{2}{3}}[g]\mathfrak{M}_{N_*-n+\frac{5}{3}}[g].$$

Now using Lemma 3.2, with $p=1$ and $M=N_*+\frac{4}{3}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=[N_*/2]}^{N_*-1}\mathfrak{M}_{n+\frac{2}{3}}[g]\mathfrak{M}_{N_*-n+\frac{5}{3}}[g] &\le \sum_{n=[N_*/2]}^{N_*-1}\mathfrak{M}_1^{\frac{2M-N_*-\frac{7}{3}}{M-1}}[g]\mathfrak{M}_M^{\frac{N_*+\frac{1}{3}}{M-1}}[g]\\ &\le \sum_{n=[N_*/2]}^{N_*-1}\mathfrak{M}_1^{\frac{2M-N_*-\frac{7}{3}}{M-1}}[g]\mathfrak{M}_M^{\frac{N+\frac{1}{3}}{M-1}}[g]\\ &\le 2\nu\varrho\mathfrak{M}_1[g]\mathfrak{M}_{N_*+\frac{4}{3}}[g].\end{aligned}$$

By assuming that $\mathfrak{M}_1[g]$ is bounded by c_1, we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\widetilde{Q}[f](k)\mathcal{E}_k^{N_*}dk \le C\mathfrak{M}_{N_*+\frac{4}{3}}[g]-2\nu\varrho\mathfrak{M}_{N_*+2}[g].$$

Now, since $C|k|^{\frac{4}{3}}-\nu\varrho|k|^2$ is bounded for all k by some positive constant c, we deduce that $C\mathfrak{M}_{N_*+\frac{4}{3}}[g]-\nu\varrho\mathfrak{M}_{N_*+2}[g]$ is also bounded by $C\mathfrak{M}_{N_*}[g]$. We then obtain the following estimate on $\widetilde{Q}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\widetilde{Q}[f](k)\mathcal{E}_k^{N}dk \le C\mathfrak{M}_{N_*}[g]-\nu\varrho\mathfrak{M}_{N_*+2}[g].$$

Applying again the Holder's inequality (6.1.3), we end up with

$$\mathfrak{M}_{N_*}[g]\le\mathfrak{M}_1^{\frac{2}{N_*+1}}[g]\mathfrak{M}_{N_*+2}^{\frac{N_*-1}{N_*+1}}[g]\le C\mathfrak{M}_{N_*+2}^{\frac{N_*-1}{N_*+1}}[g].$$

Combining the above two estimates yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\widetilde{Q}[f](k)\mathcal{E}_k^{N_*}dk \le \mathcal{P}\big[\mathfrak{M}_{N_*}[g]\big] := \ C_1\ \mathfrak{M}_{N_*}[g]\Big(1-C_2\mathfrak{M}_{N_*}^{\frac{2}{N_*-1}}[g]\Big) \tag{6.5}$$

where C_1, C_2 are positive constants depending on c_1. We set

$$\rho_* = C_2^{-\frac{N_*-1}{2}}. \tag{6.6}$$

Note that the function $\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$ in (6.5) satisfies $\mathcal{P}(x)<0$ for $0<x<\rho_*$ and $\mathcal{P}(x)>0$ for $x>\rho_*$. In addition, we may take C_2 in (6.5) smaller, if needed, which allows ρ_* and so c_2 in (6.4) to be arbitrarily large (but fixed).

Let f be an arbitrary element of the set $\mathcal{S}_\varrho\cap B_*\Big(O,(2R_*+1)e^{(C_*+1)T}\Big)$. It suffices to prove the following claim: for all $\epsilon>0$, there exists h_* depending on f and ϵ such that

$$B_E(f+h\widetilde{Q}[f],h\epsilon)\cap\mathcal{S}_\varrho\neq\emptyset,\qquad 0<h<h_*, \tag{6.7}$$

in which $B_E(f,R)$ denotes the ball in $(E,\|\cdot\|_E)$ centered at f and having radius R. For $R>0$, let $\chi_R(k)$ to be the characteristic function of the ball $B_E(0,R)$, and set

$$w_R := f+h\widetilde{Q}[f_R],\qquad f_R(k)=\chi_R(k)f(k), \tag{6.8}$$

recalling $\widetilde{Q}[g] = Q[g] - 2\nu(|k|^2 + \varrho|k|^4)g$. We shall prove that for all $R > 0$, there exists an h_R so that w_R belongs to $\mathcal{S}_\varrho$, for all $0 < h \le h_R$. In view of (5.5), it is clear that $w_R \in L^1_1 \cap L^1_{N_*}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We now check the conditions (S1)-(S3).

Condition (S1). Note that one can write $Q[f] = Q^{\text{gain}}[f] - Q^{\text{loss}}[f]$, with $Q^{\text{gain}}[f] \ge 0$ and $Q^{\text{loss}}[f] = fQ_-[f]$. Since f_R is compactly supported, it is clear that $Q_-[f_R]$ is bounded by a positive constant C_f, depending on f, R, c_1, and c_2. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} w_R &= f + h\Big(Q[f_R] - 2\nu(|k|^2 + \varrho|k|^4)f_R\Big) \\ &\ge f - hf_R\Big(C_f + 2\nu R^2 + \varrho R^4\Big) \end{aligned}$$

which is nonnegative, for sufficiently small h; precisely, $h \le h_R := (C_f + 2\nu R^2 + \varrho R^4)^{-1}$.

Condition (S2). Since

$$\|f\|_* < (2R_* + 1)e^{(C_*+1)T},$$

and

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \|f - w_R\|_* = 0,$$

we can choose h_* small enough such that

$$\|w_R\|_* < (2R_* + 1)e^{(C_*+1)T}.$$

Condition (S3). Using Lemma 2.1 with $\varphi(k) = \mathcal{E}_k$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{Q}[f_R]\mathcal{E}_k \, dk \le -2\nu\|f_R\|_{L^1_{\frac{7}{3}}} \le 0. \tag{6.9}$$

Hence, since $f \in \mathcal{S}_\varrho$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w_R\mathcal{E}_k dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f + h\widetilde{Q}[f_R])\mathcal{E}_k dk \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\mathcal{E}_k dk \le c_1.$$

Condition (S4). Now, we claim that R and h_* can be chosen, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w_R\mathcal{E}_k^{N_*} dk < \frac{3\rho_*}{2} \tag{6.10}$$

with ρ_* defined as in (6.6). In order to see this, we consider two cases. First, if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\mathcal{E}_k^{N_*} dk < \frac{3\rho_*}{2},$$

we deduce from the fact

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |w_R - f|\mathcal{E}_k^{N_*} dk = \lim_{h\to 0} h \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{Q}[f_R]\mathcal{E}_k^{N_*} dk = 0,$$

that we can choose h_* small enough such that (6.10) holds. On the other hand, if we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\mathcal{E}_k^{N_*} dk = \frac{3\rho_*}{2},$$

we can then choose R large enough such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_R\mathcal{E}_k^{N_*} dk > \rho_*,$$

which implies, by (6.5) and (6.6), that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{Q}[f_R]\mathcal{E}_k^{N_*} < 0.$$

The estimate (6.10) follows by definition of w_R.

To conclude, w_R defined as in (6.8) belongs to $\mathcal{S}_\varrho$, for $0 < h \leq h_R$ for sufficiently large R. In addition, by definition, we compute

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{1}{h}\|w_R - f - h\widetilde{Q}[f]\|_E = \lim_{R\to\infty} \|\widetilde{Q}[f] - \widetilde{Q}[f_R]\|_E = 0,$$

thanks to the Holder property of $\widetilde{Q}[f]$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_E$. This proves that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a large R_ϵ so that $w_{R_\varepsilon} \in B_E(f + hQ[f], h\epsilon)$, for all $0 < h \leq h_{R_\varepsilon}$. This proves the claim (6.7), and hence condition $(\mathfrak{B})$ is verified.

6.1.3 Condition $(\mathfrak{C})$

Condition $(\mathfrak{C})$ follows from Proposition 5.1.

6.1.4 Condition $(\mathfrak{D})$

By the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\varphi, \phi\Big] &= \lim_{h\to 0^-} h^{-1}\big(\|\phi + h\varphi\|_E - \|\phi\|_E\big) \\ &= \lim_{h\to 0^-} h^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\phi + h\varphi| - |\phi|)(\mathcal{E}_k + \mathcal{E}_k^N)\, dk \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(k)\mathrm{sign}(\phi(k))(\mathcal{E}_k + \mathcal{E}_k^N)dk.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, recalling $\widetilde{Q}[f] = Q[f] - 2\nu(|k|^2 + \varrho|k|^4)f$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\widetilde{Q}[f] - \widetilde{Q}[g], f - g\big] &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\widetilde{Q}[f](k) - \widetilde{Q}[g](k)]\mathrm{sign}((f-g)(k))(\mathcal{E}_k^{\frac{1}{3}} + \mathcal{E}_k^N)dk \\ &\leq \|Q[f] - Q[g]\|_E - 2\nu\|(|k|^2 + \varrho|k|^4)(f-g)\|_E.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5.1 and recalling $\|\cdot\|_E = \|\cdot\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|\cdot\|_{L^1_N}$, we have

$$\|Q[f] - Q[g]\|_E \le C_N\Big(\|f-g\|_{L^1_{\frac{1}{3}}} + \|f-g\|_{L^1_{N+2}}\Big).$$

Since $C(|k|^3 - \varrho|k|^4)$ is always bounded for $\varrho > 0$, we obtain

$$\big[\widetilde{Q}[f] - \widetilde{Q}[g], f-g\big] \le C_N\|f-g\|_E.$$

The condition $(\mathfrak{C})$ follows. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete for $\varrho > 0$.

6.2 Case 2: $\varrho = 0$

Denote f_n to be the unique solution to (1.6) for $\varrho = \frac{1}{n}$, starting with the same initial condition f_0 in $\cap_1^\infty \mathcal{S}_n$. Proposition 3.1 asserts that f_n is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0,\infty, L^1_N(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for all n. Moreover, according to Proposition 4.1, f_n is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0,T,L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for all n. By the Dunford-Pettis theorem and Smulian's theorem, the sequence f_n is equicontinuous in t and it converges up to a subsequence to a nonnegative to a function $f \ge 0$ in the weak L^1 sense. Recalling from (5.2) that $Q[f]$ is Lipschitz from $L^1_{\frac{1}{3}} \cap L^1_{N+2}$ to L^1_N, and f_n converges weakly to f in $L^1_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $s \in [1, N+3]$. This implies that $Q[f_n]$ also converges to $Q[f]$ in the the weak L^1 sense. As a consequence, f is a solution of (1.1).

A Appendix: Proof of Theorem 6.1

We recall below the proof of Theorem 6.1, which is Theorem 1.3 of [26], for the sake of completeness. The proof is divided into four parts.

Part 1: Fix a element v of $\mathcal{S}$, due to the Hölder continuity property of $Q[u]$, we have

$$\|Q[u]\| \le \|Q[v]\| + C\|u-v\|^\beta, \qquad \forall u \in \mathcal{S}.$$

According to our assumption, $\mathcal{S}$ is bounded by a constant C_S. We deduce from the above inequality that

$$\|Q[u]\| \le \|Q[v]\| + C\left(\|u\| + \|v\|\right)^\beta \le \|Q[v]\| + C\left(C_S + \|v\|\right)^\beta =: C_Q, \ \forall u \in \mathcal{S}.$$

For an element u be in $\mathcal{S}$, there exists $\xi_u > 0$ such that for $0 < \xi < \xi_u$, $u + \xi Q[u] \in \mathcal{S}$, which implies

$$B(u + \xi Q[u], \delta) \cap \mathcal{S} \backslash \{u + \xi Q[u]\} \neq \emptyset,$$

for δ small enough. Choose $\epsilon = 2C((C_Q+1)\xi)^\beta$, then $\|Q[u] - Q[v]\| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ if $\|u - v\| \le (C_Q+1)\xi$, by the Hölder continuity of Q. Let $z \in B\left(u + \xi Q[u], \frac{\epsilon\xi}{2}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}\backslash\{u+\xi Q[u]\}$ and define

$$t \mapsto \vartheta(t) = u + \frac{t(z-u)}{\xi}, \qquad t \in [0, \xi].$$

Since $\mathcal{S}$ is convex, ϑ maps $[0,\xi]$ into $\mathcal{S}$. It is straightforward that

$$\|\vartheta(t)-u\| \le \xi\|Q[u]\| + \frac{\epsilon\xi}{2} < (C_Q+1)\xi,$$

which implies

$$\|Q(\vartheta(t)) - Q[u]\| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall t \in [0,\xi].$$

The above inequality and the fact that

$$\|\dot{\vartheta}(t) - Q[u]\| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

leads to

$$\|\dot{\vartheta}(t) - Q(\vartheta(t))\| \le \epsilon, \quad \forall t \in [0,\xi]. \tag{A.1}$$

Part 2: Let ϑ be a solution to (A.1) on $[0,\tau]$. Inequality (A.1) leads to

$$\left|\frac{\vartheta(\tau)-\vartheta(0)}{\tau} - Q(\vartheta(0))\right|_* \le C_E\epsilon,$$

which yields

$$|\vartheta(\tau)|_* \le |\vartheta(0)|_* + \tau C_*(|\vartheta(0)|_*+1) + \tau C_E\epsilon.$$

Since we can assume that $C_E\epsilon < 1$, we obtain

$$|\bar{\vartheta}(\tau)|_* \le (|\bar{\vartheta}(0)|_*+1)e^{(C_*+1)\tau} - 1. \tag{A.2}$$

Using the procedure of Part 1, we assume that $\bar{\vartheta}$ can be extended to the interval $[\tau,\tau+\tau']$. The same arguments that lead to (A.2) imply

$$|\bar{\vartheta}(\tau+\tau')|_* \le \left((|\bar{\vartheta}(\tau)|_*+1)e^{(C_*+1)\tau'} - 1\right).$$

Combining the above inequality with (A.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\vartheta}(\tau+\tau')\|_* = |\bar{\vartheta}(\tau+\tau')|_* &\le \left(|\bar{\vartheta}(0)|_*+1\right)\left(e^{(C_*+1)(\tau+\tau')} - 1\right) \\ &\le (2R_*+1)e^{(C_*+1)(\tau+\tau')}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $R_* \ge 1$.

Part 3: From Part 1, there exists a solution ϑ to the equation (A.1) on an interval $[0,h]$. Now, we have the following procedure.

- *Step 1:* Suppose that we can construct the solution ϑ of (A.1) on $[0,\tau]$ $(\tau < T)$. Since $\vartheta(\tau) \in \mathcal{S}$, by the same process as in Part 1 and by (A.2) and (A.3), the solution ϑ could be extended to $[\tau,\tau+h_\tau]$ where $\tau + h_\tau \le T, h_\tau \le \tau$.

- *Step 2:* Suppose that we can construct the solution ϑ of (A.1) on a series of intervals $[0, \tau_1]$, $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$, $\cdots$, $[\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}]$, $\cdots$. Observe that the increasing sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ is bounded by T, the sequence has a limit, defined by τ. Recall that $Q(\vartheta)$ is bounded by C_Q on $[\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\dot{\vartheta}$ is bounded by $\epsilon + C_Q$ on $[0, \tau)$. As a consequence $\vartheta(\tau)$ can be defined as

$$\vartheta(\tau) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \vartheta(\tau_n), \dot{\vartheta}(\tau) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \dot{\vartheta}(\tau_n),$$

  which, together with the fact that $\mathcal{S}$ is closed, implies that ϑ is a solution of (A.1) on $[0, \tau]$.

By Step 2, if the solution ϑ can be defined on $[0, T_0)$, $T_0 < T$, it could be extended to $[0, T_0]$. Now, we suppose that $[0, T_0]$ is the maximal closed interval that ϑ could be defined, by Step 1, ϑ could be extended to a larger interval $[T_0, T_0 + T_h]$, which means that $T = T_0$ and ϑ is defined on the whole interval $[0, T]$.

Part 4: Finally, let us consider a sequence of solution $\{u^\epsilon\}$ to (A.1) on $[0, T]$. We will prove that this is a Cauchy sequence. Let $\{u^\epsilon\}$ and $\{v^\epsilon\}$ be two sequences of solutions to (A.1) on $[0, T]$. We note that u^ϵ and v^ϵ are affine functions on $[0, T]$. Moreover by the one-side Lipschitz condition

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\|u^\epsilon(t) - v^\epsilon(t)\| &= \Big[u^\epsilon(t) - v^\epsilon(t), \dot{u}^\epsilon(t) - \dot{v}^\epsilon(t)\Big] \\
&\leq \Big[u^\epsilon(t) - v^\epsilon(t), Q[u^\epsilon(t)] - Q[v^\epsilon(t)]\Big] + 2\epsilon \\
&\leq C\|u^\epsilon(t) - v^\epsilon(t)\| + 2\epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, which leads to

$$\|u^\epsilon(t) - v^\epsilon(t)\| \leq 2\epsilon \frac{e^{LT}}{L}.$$

By letting ϵ tend to 0, $u^\epsilon \to u$ uniformly on $[0, T]$. It is straightforward that u is a solution to (6.1).

Acknowledgements. TN's research was supported in part by the NSF under grant DMS-1405728. M.-B. Tran has been supported by NSF Grant RNMS (Ki-Net) 1107444, ERC Advanced Grant DYCON. The authors would like to thank Professor Yves Pomeau for his constructive comments on the previous version of the paper. They would also like to express their gratitude to Professor Sergey Nazarenko for explaining to them the difference between the two works [21] and [31], which led to a major improvement of the earlier manuscript. They are also grateful to Professor Colm Connaughton and Professor Leslie M. Smith for the discussions.

References

[1] R. Alonso, I. M. Gamba, and M.-B. Tran. The Cauchy problem for the quantum Boltzmann equation for bosons at very low temperature. *Submitted*.

[2] A. M. Balk and S. V. Nazarenko. Physical realizability of anisotropic weak-turbulence kolmogorov spectra. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 70:1031–1041, 1990.

[3] A. Bressan. Notes on the Boltzmann equation. *Lecture notes for a summer course, S.I.S.S.A. Trieste*, 2005.

[4] T. Carleman. Sur la théorie de l'équation intégrodifférentielle de Boltzmann. *Acta Math.*, 60(1):91–146, 1933.

[5] C. Connaughton. Numerical solutions of the isotropic 3-wave kinetic equation. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 238(23):2282–2297, 2009.

[6] G. Craciun and M.-B. Tran. A toric dynamical system approach to the relaxation to equilibrium of quantum Boltzmann equations for bose gases. *arXiv:1608.05438v2*.

[7] M. Escobedo and M.-B. Tran. Convergence to equilibrium of a linearized quantum Boltzmann equation for bosons at very low temperature. *Kinetic and Related Models*, 8(3):493—531, 2015.

[8] M. Escobedo and J. J. L. Velázquez. On the theory of weak turbulence for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 238(1124):v+107, 2015.

[9] E. Faou, P. Germain, and Z. Hani. The weakly nonlinear large-box limit of the 2D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 29(4):915–982, 2016.

[10] C. Gardiner, P. Zoller, R. J. Ballagh, and M. J. Davis. Kinetics of Bose-Einstein condensation in a trap. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 79:1793, 1997.

[11] K. Hasselmann. On the non-linear energy transfer in a gravity-wave spectrum part 1. general theory. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 12(04):481–500, 1962.

[12] K. Hasselmann. On the spectral dissipation of ocean waves due to white capping. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 6(1-2):107–127, 1974.

[13] C. Josserand and Y. Pomeau. Nonlinear aspects of the theory of bose-einstein condensates. *Nonlinearity*, 14(5):R25, 2001.

[14] T. R. Kirkpatrick and J. R. Dorfman. Transport theory for a weakly interacting condensed Bose gas. *Phys. Rev. A (3)*, 28(4):2576–2579, 1983.

[15] A. O. Korotkevich, A. I. Dyachenko, and V. E. Zakharov. Numerical simulation of surface waves instability on a homogeneous grid. *Phys. D*, 321/322:51–66, 2016.

[16] R. Lacaze, P. Lallemand, Y. Pomeau, and S. Rica. Dynamical formation of a Bose-Einstein condensate. *Phys. D*, 152/153:779–786, 2001. Advances in nonlinear mathematics and science.

[17] R. H. Martin. *Nonlinear operators and differential equations in Banach spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience, 1976.

[18] S. Nazarenko. *Wave turbulence*, volume 825 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[19] A. C. Newell and B. Rumpf. Wave turbulence. *Annual review of fluid mechanics*, 43:59–78, 2011.

[20] T. Nguyen and M.-B. Tran. Uniform in time lower bound for solutions to a quantum Boltzmann equation of bosons at low temperatures. *submitted*.

[21] A. N. Pushkarev and V. E. Zakharov. Turbulence of capillary waves. *Physical review letters*, 76(18):3320, 1996.

[22] A. N. Pushkarev and V. E. Zakharov. Turbulence of capillary waves: theory and numerical simulation. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 135(1):98–116, 2000.

[23] M. A. Sara. Contributions in fractional diffusive limit and wave turbulence in kinetic theory, university of cambridge. *PhD Thesis under the supervision of Cément Mouhot*, 2015.

[24] L. M. Smith and F. Waleffe. Generation of slow large scales in forced rotating stratified turbulence. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 451:145–168, 2002.

[25] A. Soffer and M.-B. Tran. On coupling kinetic and schrodinger equations. *Submitted*.

[26] A. Soffer and M.-B. Tran. On the dynamics of finite temperature trapped bose gases. *Submitted*.

[27] H. Spohn. Kinetics of the bose-einstein condensation. *Physica D*, 239:627–634, 2010.

[28] C. Villani. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. In *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics, Vol. I*, pages 71–305. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.

[29] S. M'etens Y. Pomeau, M.A. Brachet and S. Rica. Théorie cinétique d'un gaz de bose dilué avec condensat. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris S'er. IIb M'ec. Phys. Astr.*, 327:791–798, 1999.

[30] V. E. Zakharov. Weak turbulence in media with a decay spectrum. *Journal of Applied Mechanics and Technical Physics*, 6(4):22–24, 1965.

[31] V. E. Zakharov. Stability of periodic waves of finite amplitude on the surface of a deep fluid. *Journal of Applied Mechanics and Technical Physics*, 9(2):190–194, 1968.

[32] V. E. Zakharov. Statistical theory of gravity and capillary waves on the surface of a finite-depth fluid. *European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids*, 18(3):327–344, 1999.

[33] V. E. Zakharov and N. N. Filonenko. Weak turbulence of capillary waves. *Journal of applied mechanics and technical physics*, 8(5):37–40, 1967.

[34] V. E. Zakharov, V. S. L'vov, and G. Falkovich. *Kolmogorov spectra of turbulence I: Wave turbulence*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[35] V. E. Zakharov and S. V. Nazarenko. Dynamics of the Bose-Einstein condensation. *Phys. D*, 201(3-4):203–211, 2005.